

CHRISTIANS AGAINST MENTAL SLAVERY

98 High Street Knaresborough N Yorks HG5 0HN

info@slavery.org.uk

07930 519793

9 June 2003

Rt Hon A Blair PM
10 Downing Street
LONDON
SW1 2AA

Dear Mr Blair

I hope that your reply to this letter will indicate explicitly that you, Tony Blair, have actually read this letter and authorised a specific reply, rather than that an official has read the letter on your behalf and has decided that he already has all the delegated authority he needs in order also to send us (for example) a dismissive reply, purportedly upon your behalf, without even showing you the letter.

Christians Against Mental Slavery is a group of Christians that considers that technology that could be used for what are often colloquially called "mind control" applications might already or soon exist and could easily be used non-consensually, and that therefore protective legislation is urgently needed to safeguard the public. We use the term "mental slavery", because we see an apt comparison with the struggle that we are beginning and an earlier righteous struggle that was won before any of us was born.

Some of us further believe that mental slavery applications are already underway, even in the UK, though that is not a requirement for membership. Those of us involved in the research are confident that we can provide compelling evidence for the existence of technology capable of mental slavery applications, and are planning to present this evidence for independent review to a distinguished and appropriately and highly qualified academic, a university professor who is himself an atheist of Jewish background, but who has nevertheless kindly agreed in advance to consider that evidence carefully. This first academic review is planned for this summer. It is intended then that further academic reviewers of several personal backgrounds and academic specialties will also be invited to give their opinions on our evidence too.

Christians Against Mental Slavery is presently a small group, even though it is one with big ideas, consisting (so far) of one citizen of the USA (resident in the USA, our paid researcher), one South African citizen (resident here), one German (also resident here), and seven British citizens including myself, spanning seven different UK parliamentary constituencies. We have two reverends amongst the group's members, plus one member with a master's in Clinical Psychology.

We are also successfully making contact with persons, both Christian and non-Christian, who allege that they have experienced as subjects the perpetration of non-consensual mental slavery experiments or applications, and noticing similarities between their testimony. We are also in contact with others, not necessarily Christians, and some of them not themselves alleged mental slavery victims, who are active in the UK and elsewhere in the international struggle against mental slavery. We are in touch with

scientists whose discoveries and publications will provide the primary source material that will form a part of the evidence that we will be asking the academics to review.

More impressive than our personnel and our contacts is the God whom we worship. He is omnipotent. He loves the whole of humankind undeservedly. He also hates injustice. He cannot possibly have taken kindly to certain of the content of alleged British public sector mental slavery perpetrations. It is in His name that I claim that we are campaigning, so you would be well-advised to give serious consideration to the possibility that this boast may be accurately prophetic, rather than presumptuously spurious.

If you covet a place in history worth even a mention on the Day of Judgment, you would be well-advised to use the high office with which (for the time being) God has entrusted you, in order to change the UK's policy on mental slavery. Not many British people would be able to name the British prime minister in office when the campaign for the abolition of the Atlantic slave trade began, still less any of his policies, his accomplishments, or the wars he sent our boys to fight. However, there are probably very few who, if asked about the campaign against the Atlantic slave trade, would not be able to name William Wilberforce as a leading protagonist, or who, if asked with what they associated the name "Wilberforce" would not reply, "the abolition of slavery", or words to that effect.

There was a time when the UK was the most guilty of the nations that perpetrated and profited from the crime against humanity that was the slave trade. Over the course of a generation or so, this situation was entirely reversed, so that the repentant UK became the nation leading the fight against slavery worldwide, praise God. I hope to live to see a second historic British U-turn, every bit as complete as the first, but perhaps this time brought about less gradually, perhaps with your decisive help today.

Factors that may have caused it to take a generation for so compelling a moral case to precipitate national repentance and political action were (1) commercial interests, (2) public ignorance, (3) secrecy and disinformation, (4) indifference to others' suffering, (5) misguided non-egalitarian notions that regarded the victims of slavery as less fully human than its perpetrators, (6) a warped understanding of what applications of the concept of "the national interest" were legitimate, (7) what pop psychology nowadays calls "denial", in that case a self-deluding incredulity of the people that British sea-farers could possibly be as cruel as was alleged and (8) stubborn politicians.

Analogues of all these factors are also stacked against the campaign of Christians Against Mental Slavery, which is a campaign for domestic legislation declaring it to be a crime against humanity for anyone to use, without every subject's continuing, informed consent, technology that can monitor or influence the human central nervous system, and for an international treaty that would commit governments worldwide to adopting such measures.

I was not deterred by harrassment during 2001, when my activism was embryonic, harrassment that included a series of untraceable and intendedly intimidating telephone calls from the same anonymous person, each made at about 4 a.m., culminating in the final call that contained a warning that I and my family would be killed, "painfully", unless I left the country immediately, because, "you know too much". If such tactics did not deter me, then you can be sure that neither will I be deterred by any of the eight

factors I have listed, and over which Wilberforce's God (and ours) procured that *His* campaign eventually triumphed.

One factor facing our group with which Wilberforce didn't have to contend will shortly become a factor with which we do not have to contend either. There was no *skepticism* in Wilberforce's day on the part of an incredulous public and academia that technology even *existed* that was capable of transporting negroes by the boatload to the New World in gruesome conditions that nowadays we would not allow even the mammals we eat to be conveyed. Fortunately, we can muster evidence, that is to say *primary evidence*, such as peer-reviewed academic publications and patents granted on working inventions, adequate to prove that mental slavery as we have defined it can nowadays be perpetrated.

I believe that you have styled yourself as a "Christian" prime minister. Well, if consequently it is truly the praise of God, not that of men, that matters most to you, then you will require no other persuasive argument for changing the UK's policy and practice at a stroke than that mental slavery is grossly cruel and unfair, something that is obviously wrong, even when perpetrated "by those acting in an official capacity" (to quote the wording of Article 13 of the European Convention on Human Rights), and even when "national security" is invoked as the excuse for depriving plaintiffs of the ability to exercise their Article 13 right to seek a legal remedy.

There is no reason that you should have to go down in history as one of those foolish, stubborn leaders who, like the Egyptian Pharaoh mentioned in Exodus, never properly realised (to borrow Bismark's phrase) "the footsteps of God through history", i.e. to know when he was in a "no win" situation, if he resisted God. You have a chance to repent, and thus to become a *hero* in human history, rather than a Mengelesque villain.

If my instruction on British constitutional law was correct, you should find that you have all the power and influence you need to grant Christians Against Mental Slavery an early and easy victory here in the UK. I shall therefore begin praying for you afresh, and with greater zeal. I shall pray that I shall receive a letter (or, preferably, a phone call) from you shortly, informing me that you have decided to make the enclosed manifesto of Christians Against Mental Slavery *the new official policy of your own government*, something which I think you already know you must now do, without delay. On God's behalf, and although I may not get to the promised land with the mental slaves myself, of the victims of mental slavery we say, in the words of an old negro spiritual, "Let My people go!".

Yours in Christ,



John Allman